

## SBAC II

Minutes for Meeting of November 18, 2014  
Hartwell Multipurpose Room

Present: D. Adams, K. Basset, T. Christenfeld, S. Perlmutter, B. Creel, B. McFall, P. Sugar, M. Pietropaolo, G. Taylor, V. Cannistraro, Dore and Whittier (J. Richardson, D. Walter, E. Rae)

Absent: O. Beenhouwer, H. Russell, J. Boone (DW)

Meeting called to order at 7:10PM by chair Becky McFall

1. The feedback from the State of the Town meeting was reviewed and discussed. Several themes emerged:
  - There appeared to be strong support for including educational enhancements into a Project.
  - The "L-shaped" scheme(s) appear to be supported.
  - There appears to also be strong support for including a Community Center on the Ballfield Road campus.

The issue of developing criteria for evaluating options was discussed. The Committee considered narrowing down the list, but eventually decided that it was crucial to keep all options "on the table". As such, discussions were more geared towards making the distinctions amongst the various options more readily apparent. Another issue of concern is presenting the options as true "options", rather than "recommendations" of the Committee.

2. The Committee, along with Dore and Whittier, discussed preparations for the December 2 Public Forum, using the DW draft Agenda as a guide. The first issue discussed was that of MSBA vs. non-MSBA participation, and how that would affect any Project. DW will conduct a small group exercise so as to gauge what the Community is thinking relative to what they might support for a Project in either scenario.  
Along with the need to present the various options clearly, there was discussion relative to how best to coordinate with the Community Center. DW raised the questions of how "together" the two Projects needed to/should be. They suggested that there were three choices: Coordination (minimum), Collaboration, and Integration (e.g. physical integration of the two facilities). Members of the Committee raised several issues, such as costs for a combined project, concerns about overloading of the campus, and logistical issues of an integrated facility. The need to begin collaborating now with the Community Center was stated.
3. A discussion of the "key variables" for the Project took place. Among those established were: Cost, Educational Enhancements, 2030/Energy Efficiency, extent of Renovation vs. New Construction, Timing (MSBA vs. non-MSBA), and Joint-use of the facility. DW

Approved 2/10/15

plans a small group exercise to gauge how the Community prioritizes these variables.

4. P. Sugar motioned to approve the minutes of the 10/14, 10/21, and 10/28 meetings; seconded by M. Pietropaolo. Motion carried unanimously.

8. M. Pietropaolo motioned to adjourn at 9:30PM; seconded by D. Adams. Motion carried unanimously.